

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/060,506

Applicant(s)

CHOI ET AL.

Examiner

Juliet C. Switzer

Art Unit

1634

All Participants:(1) Juliet C. Switzer.(2) Patrick Higgins.**Status of Application:** NEW CASE, prior to first action

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 May 2003**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22-26

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Juliet C Switzer
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed amendments that would place the application in condition for allowance. The amendments place the claims in similar scope to those allowed in the parent application. Mr. Higgins requested a fax of proposed amendments, the examiner agreed to provide as much and it was agreed that Mr. Higgins would call the examiner by Monday May 12 in response to the proposed amendments. Mr. Higgins approved the examiner's amendments on Monday May 12, 2003 (see enclosed examiner's amendment). .